DO NOT REMOVE FROM THE RESEARCH OFFICE

Rubber Asphalt Binder "Stress Absorbing Membrane Interlayer"

SR 12, Contract 1083
Morton to Packwood
and
SR 12, Contract 0937
Jackson Highway to Beach Road

WA-RD 185.1

Final Evaluation Report March 1989



in cooperation with the United States Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

TECHNICAL REPORT STANDARD TITLE PAGE

1. REPORT NO.	2. GOVERNMENT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NO.	
UA DD 105 1			
WA-RD 185.1		5. REPORT DATE	
Rubber Asphalt Binder "Stress Absorbing Membrane Interlayer"		N 1 1000	
		March 1989	
		6. PENFORMING ORGANIZATION	CODE
7. AUTHOR(S)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	REPORT NO.
Allison, R. F.			
Allison, R, E, 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. WORK UNIT NO.	
Washington State Department of Transportation Transportation Building KF-01 Olympia, WA. 98504			
		11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	
		13. TYPE OF REPORT AN PERI	IOD COVERED
		13. TYPE OF REPORT AN PERI	OD COVERED
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS			
Same as above		Final Evaluati	on Report
		14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE	
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		1	
10. 00. 00.		11 C D- 1 1 2	
This study was conducted in		U.S. Department of	
Transportation, Federal High	hway Administration		
16. ABSTRACT			
Experimental installation o	f rubber-asphalt bind	er stress absorbing	mamhrana
interlayors were evaluated	for their shility to	dolaw on movement most	linembrane
interlayers were evaluated	for their ability to	delay or prevent ret	rective
alligator cracking. The fi			
of service life neither the			
the remainder of the project	ts paved with the into	erlayer have shown a	ny
reflective alligator cracking. It is suspected that the thickness of the over- lay in combination with the sound structure of the underlying pavement has			
masked any or one benefit of	or the interruyer,		
		TOURISTION OF CENT	
17. KEY WORDS	18. DIS	TRIBUTION STATEMENT	
Rubber-asphalt	No	restrictions. This	
SAMI			document
Alligator Cracking	is		
		available to the pul	blic through
	the	available to the pule National Technical	blic through Information
Reflection Cracking	the	available to the pul	blic through Information
Reflection Cracking	the Ser	available to the pule National Technical rvice, Springfield, V	blic through Information VA. 22616
	the	available to the pule National Technical rvice, Springfield, V	blic through Information
Reflection Cracking	the Ser	available to the pule National Technical rvice, Springfield, V	blic through Information VA. 22616

RUBBER ASPHALT BINDER "STRESS ABSORBING MEMBRANE INTERLAYER"

SR-12, Contract 1083 Morton to Packwood

by R. E. Allison Special Projects Section

Experimental Feature WA78-07 Final Evaluation Report

Prepared for

WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION and in cooperation with U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

March, 1989

FINAL REPORT

Experimental Project WA 78-07

RUBBER-ASPHALT BINDER - "SAMI"

Summary

An experimental installation of rubber-asphalt was placed as a stress absorbing membrane interlayer (SAMI) on two sections of SR-12 in the Morton vicinity. The total length of the two sections is approximately 3.5 miles.

The existing roadway was constructed in 1967 and 1968 and consisted of 0.25 ft of ACP over 0.92 ft of untreated gravel surfacing. It had experienced severe alligator cracking of the ACP in the wheel paths. The distress appeared to be due to simple fatigue cracking of a fairly thin section of ACP under heavy traffic conditions. The total surfacing depth was adequate to protect the subgrade soil.

The roadway sections as designed for this project follows:

Section 1 MP 96.91 to MP 98.00

0.15 ft ACP Class B
SAMI Rubber-Asphalt
0.05 ft ACP Class G for prelevel
0.20 ft Min. Total Depth

<u>Section 2</u> MP 102.03 to MP 104.41

*0.15 ft ACP Class B
SAMI Rubber-Asphalt
0.05 ft ACP Class G for prelevel
0.20 ft Min. Total Depth

*0.25 ft ACP Class B between MP 102.95 & MP 103.60

The rubber-asphalt was placed by Sealant Systems, Inc., which was the subcontractor for Lakeside Industries of Centralia, the prime contractor for the project. The rubber-asphalt system used on this project was supplied by Arizona Refining Co. of Phoenix, Arizona. The rubber-asphalt consisted of AR-4000W asphalt, reclaimed rubber Grade G274 supplied by U.S. Rubber Reclaiming Co., Inc., and Califlux G.P. diluent. The diluent and reclaimed rubber were added to the asphalt in an 8000 gallon mixing tanker which was at a temperature of 350 to 400 degrees F. The diluent, rubber, and asphalt were mixed together and heated to 425 degrees F in the large mixing tanker before being transfered to a 3800 gallon distributors for application.

Between MP 97.790 and MP 97.866, a 400 foot control section was constructed in which the rubber-asphalt SAMI was omitted in the westbound lanes. The performance of the adjacent pavement with the rubber-asphalt SAMI was compared to this section.

The SAMI was incorporated in this resurfacing project in an attempt to prevent, or at least reduce, reflective cracking. This project was monitored at regular intervals from the date of installation, July 18, 1978, to February 13, 1989, to evaluate the performance of the rubber-asphalt SAMI.

Conclusions

To date, reflective alligator cracking has appeared in neither the SAMI test section nor the adjacent control section. There is some minor longitudinal cracking. No conclusion can be made from this project at this time regarding the effectiveness of the SAMI for reflective crack prevention or reduction. After 10 years service with no distress evident in either the control or test areas, we can only conclude that either the overlay depth was sufficient to mask the benefits of the interlayer or that the interlayer provided no benefits. Considering the overlay depth and the structure of the existing pavements we believe the overlay depth masked the effects of the interlayer.

RUBBER ASPHALT BINDER "STRESS ABSORBING MEMBRANE INTERLAYER"

SR-12, Contract 0937 Jackson Highway to Beach Road

> by R. E. Allison Special Projects Section

Experimental Feature WA78-06 Final Evaluation Report

Prepared for

WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION and in cooperation with U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

March, 1989

FINAL REPORT

Experimental Project WA 78-06

RUBBER-ASPHALT BINDER - "SAMI"

Summary

An experimental installation of rubber-asphalt was placed as a stress absorbing membrane interlayer (SAMI) on approximately 8.5 miles of SR-12 between Mary's Corner and the town of Salkum in Lewis County, east of SR-5

The existing roadway consisted of an old PCC pavement which was widened using cement treated base, then resurfaced with five inches of crushed surfacing and three inches of asphalt concrete between 1956 and 1958. The pavement had experienced considerable fatigue distress in the form of alligator cracking which had progressed to the stage where there was continuous alligator cracking throughout the project. Minor transverse cracking was also evident in some areas, reflecting the underlying PCCP joint pattern.

Resurfacing consisted of placing a rubber-asphalt SAMI over the traveled lanes, followed by a shoulder to shoulder over-lay with 0.15 ft ACP Class B. Some nominal preleveling was accomplished with ACP Class G prior to the application of the rubber-asphalt.

The rubber-asphalt was placed by Sealant Systems, Inc. which was the subcontractor for Lakeside Industries of Centralia, the prime contractor for the project. The rubber-asphalt system used on this project was supplied by Arizona Refining Co. of Phoenix, Arizona. The rubber-asphalt consisted of AR-4000W asphalt, reclaimed rubber Grade G274 supplied by U.S. Rubber Reclaiming Co., Inc., and Califlux G.P. diluent. The diluent and reclaimed rubber were added to the asphalt in an 8000 gallon mixing tanker which was at a temperature of 350 to 400 degrees F. The diluent, rubber, and asphalt were mixed together and heated to 425 degrees F in the large mixing tanker before being transfered to a 3800 gallon distributors for application.

Between MP 69.39 and MP 69.45, a 300 foot control section was constructed in which the rubber-asphalt SAMI was omitted in the westbound lanes. The performance of the adjacent pavement with the rubber-asphalt SAMI was compared to this section.

The SAMI was incorporated in this resurfacing project in an attempt to prevent, or at least reduce, reflective cracking. This project was monitored at regular intervals from the date of installation, July 1978, to February 1989, to evaluate the performance of the rubber-asphalt SAMI.

Conclusions

To date, reflective alligator cracking has appeared in neither the SAMI test section nor the adjacent control section. Some traverse cracking was observed, mainly in the westbound lane, between MP 71 and MP 72. No conclusion can be made from this project at this time regarding the effectiveness of the SAMI for reflective crack prevention or reduction. After 10 years service with no distress evident in either the control or test areas, we can only conclude that either the overlay depth was sufficient to mask the benefits of the interlayer or that the interlayer provided no benefits. Considering the overlay depth and the structure of the existing pavements we believe the overlay depth masked the effects of the interlayer.